

**REMARKS**


Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuo (US6767024). Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the housing is integrally formed with the crown to form one piece. The elements 61, 65, 651 and the crown of Kuo are not integrally formed to form one piece. Accordingly, Kuo fails to disclose a housing of a cable guide that is integrally formed with a crown to form one piece as claimed in the present invention. Therefore, claim 1 is felt to be patentable over Kuo.

Claims 2-4 were rejected as claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). Since claims 2-4 depend directly or indirectly from and contain all the limitations of claim 1 as amended, they are felt to overcome the obviousness rejection in the same manner as amended claim 1.

This amendment is believed to be fully responsive to the comments and suggestions of the Examiner and to place this application in condition for allowance. Favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KEVIN WESLING

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa Serdynski, Attorney  
Registration No. 40,307  
SRAM Corporation  
1333 N. Kingsbury, 4th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60622  
(312) 664-3652